



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/490,354	01/24/2000	Noriya Kobayashi	UCSD 7055	
24978 7	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
300 S WACKE	ER DR		<u> </u>	
25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2132	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A P C N	A continue of the continue of				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/490,354	KOBAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kambiz Zand	2132				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-30,32-46 and 48-55 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01/24/2000</u> is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
	ν	2 Tome				
	nam —	or Tome				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Neterlandes Offed (170-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 31 and 47 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 2, 30 and 45 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 1-30, 32-46 and 48-55 are pending.

#### **Drawings**

6. **Figures 1-3** should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Fig.2 represent a floppy disk which is a prior art; fig.3 represent a CD-ROM which is a prior art; and further fig.1 represent a Ticket with dated material back to 1999 prior to filing of the application's invention in 2000.

Examiner suggests the content that Applicant claims as the invention, and which is stored on a CD-ROM or Floppy be present in the figures in order to distinguish over the prior art.

Corrections or clarification is requested.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-30, 38-44, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 8, 23, 24, 29 and 38 the "may", "may be", "is desired", "capable", "deliverable", "for" phrases makes the claims indefinite and unclear in that neither means/steps nor interrelationship of means/steps are set forth in these claims in order to achieve the desired results expressed in the above phrases.

In claim 30, the "wherein" phrases makes the claims indefinite and unclear in that neither method steps nor interrelationship of method steps are set forth in these claims in order to achieve the desired results expressed in the "wherein..." phrases.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,354

Art Unit: 2132

In claim 45 the "legitimacy" phrases makes the claims indefinite and unclear in that neither means/steps nor interrelationship of means/steps are set forth in these claims in order to achieve the desired results expressed in the above phrase.

In claims, the "wherein" phrases makes the claims indefinite and unclear in that neither method steps nor interrelationship of method steps are set forth in these claims in order to achieve the desired results expressed in the "wherein..." phrases.

10. Claims 2-7, 9-22, 25-28, 39-44, 46 and 48 are rejected as depending on the rejected independent claims above.

Examiner also considers the phrase such as "<u>for</u> the occurrence and <u>thus</u> to become a ticket consumer" (emphasize added) or similar phrases within the claims 1-30, 32-46 and 48-55 as only defining an action or a process or a method, which is only descriptive in nature with no patentability weight given.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. Claims 38-46 and 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mengin et al (us2002/0095383 A1).

As per claims 38-46 and 51-55 please see the last office action as examiner maintain the previous Examiner's office action in that regard. Applicant's arguments on pages 13-15 of the response only gives Applicant's interpretation of

Application/Control Number: 09/490,354

Art Unit: 2132

the prior art Mengin et al. Examiner suggests applicant to be clear on what **limitations within the claims**, that Mengin do not teach and why.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. Claims 8, 24, 30, 32-37, 48, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen; and with respect to 48 in view of Mengin et al. please see the last office action as examiner maintain the previous Examiner's office action in that regard. Applicant's arguments on pages 2-13 of the response only gives Applicant's interpretation of the prior art Rosen and Mengin et al. Examiner suggests applicant to be clear on what limitations within the claims, that Rosen and Mengin do not teach and why.

## Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1-7, 9-23 and 25-29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 09/490,354 Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

05/24/2005

AU 2132